

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
EUGENE DIVISION**

CHARLYN ELLIS,

Plaintiff,

No. 6:24-cv-00205-AA

v.

JUDGMENT

**CITY OF CORVALLIS, acting by
and through the Corvallis City
Council,**

Defendant.

AIKEN, District Judge.

For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Opinion and Order, judgment is entered for Plaintiff and the Court declares as follows:

The Discussion Clause and the Influence Clause of Section 23(f) of the Corvallis City Charter are facially unconstitutional under article I, section 8 of the Oregon Constitution and the City's efforts to expel Plaintiff from the City Council under those provisions are void.

The Discussion Clause and the Influence Clause of Section 23(f) of the Corvallis City Charter are facially unconstitutional under the First Amendment and the City's efforts to expel Plaintiff from the City Council under those provisions are void.

Plaintiff is awarded nominal damages in the amount of \$1.00. The Court will consider a motion for attorney fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988 at the appropriate time.

It is so ORDERED and DATED this 10th day of January 2025

/s/Ann Aiken
ANN AIKEN
United States District Judge